

# Pupil premium strategy statement

1. Summary information					
School	All Saints' CE(A) First School				
Academic Year	20/21	Total PP budget	£21000	Date of most recent PP Review	Sep 20
Total number of pupils	209	Number of pupils eligible for PP	16	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Oct 21
2. Current attainment					
<i>NB *excludes the PP children starting in part way through the year.            No current data exists as a result of COVID lockdown. However, data will be added when first assessment point is reached. This plan has been continued from previous data which shows continuing gap between PP and non-PP children.</i>			Pupils eligible for PP (main school)	Pupils (main school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving ARE or above in reading					
% achieving ARE or above in writing					
% achieving ARE or above in maths					
% achieving ARE or above in GL English					
% achieving ARE or above in GL Maths					
% making at least expected progress in reading					74.0%
% making at least expected progress in writing					65.5%
% making at least expected progress in maths					72.6%
3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-school barriers <i>(issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)</i>					
A.	Communication and language development – poor speech and communication skills				
B.	Involvement of parents supporting homework, reading and spelling.				
External barriers <i>(issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)</i>					
C.	Social Interaction opportunities for vulnerable children outside school				
D.	On entry attainment – below national expectations				
4. Desired outcomes <i>(Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)</i>					Success criteria

<b>A.</b>	Close attainment gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.	<b>% gap for chn achieving ARE or above in reading, writing and maths is reduced</b>
<b>B.</b>	Writing – Close progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.	<b>% gap for chn achieving at least expected attainment progress in writing is reduced</b>
<b>C.</b>	Reading and writing – continue to reduce attainment and progress gap of PP and non-PP children at similar levels	<b>% gap for chn achieving at least expected progress in reading and writing is reduced</b>
<b>D.</b>	Maths – continue to maintain accelerated progress of PP children to reduce the attainment gap.	<b>% chn achieving at least expected progress in maths is maintained so PP progress continues to be in line with or is better than non-PP</b>
<b>E.</b>	Speech and Language Development – maintain speech and communication skills of EYFS PP children .	<b>EYFS progress data for S+L strand shows accelerated progress for EYFS children, above expected progress.</b>

N.B Evidence and rational for 2020-21 choices of Pupil Premium Actions are taken from the Education Endowment Foundation – Teaching and Learning Toolkit

5. Planned expenditure					
Academic year		2020-21			
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies					
i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					<b>£0</b>
ii. Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Maths – Close attainment gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.	1:1 and small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in maths.	Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective, on average accelerating learning by approximately five additional months' progress. Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective. Short, regular sessions over a set period of time (6-12 weeks) appear to result in optimum impact. Evidence also suggests tuition should be additional to, but explicitly linked with, normal teaching, and that teachers should monitor progress to ensure the tutoring is beneficial.	Children identified for 1:1 tuition and small group tuition through termly assessment and monitoring.  Identified children screened with appropriated assessments e.g. Sandwell Maths, GL assessments, phonics screening, to ensure tuition is based on specific area of need.  Planned fixed term programme of intervention followed by assessment to identify and monitor impact of intervention.	LM  MB	£6000  End of year analysis (July 2021)

<p>Writing – Close attainment and progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.</p>	<p>1:1 tuition and small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in writing.</p>	<p>Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective, on average accelerating learning by approximately five additional months' progress. Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective. Short, regular sessions over a set period of time (6-12 weeks) appear to result in optimum impact. Evidence also suggests tuition should be additional to, but explicitly linked with, normal teaching, and that teachers should monitor progress to ensure the tutoring is beneficial.</p>	<p>Children identified for 1:1 tuition and small group tuition through termly assessment and monitoring.</p> <p>Identified children screened with appropriate writing assessments (GL etc) to ensure 1:1/ small group tuition is based on specific area of need.</p> <p>Planned 10 week programme of intervention followed by assessment to identify and monitor impact of intervention.</p>	<p>LM MB</p>	<p>£6000</p> <p>End of year analysis (July 2020)</p>
<p>Reading – Close attainment and progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.</p>	<p>1:1 tuition and small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in reading.</p>	<p>Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective, on average accelerating learning by approximately five additional months' progress. Evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective. Short, regular sessions over a set period of time (6-12 weeks) appear to result in optimum impact. Evidence also suggests tuition should be additional to, but explicitly linked with, normal teaching, and that teachers should monitor progress to ensure the tutoring is beneficial.</p>	<p>Children identified for 1:1 tuition and small group tuition through termly assessment and monitoring.</p> <p>Identified children screened with appropriate reading assessments (GL etc) to ensure 1:1/ small group tuition is based on specific area of need.</p> <p>Planned 10 week programme of intervention followed by assessment to identify and monitor impact of intervention.</p>	<p>LM MB</p>	<p>£6000</p> <p>End of year analysis (July 2020)</p>

<p>Language and Communication – Improve speech and language development of children, particularly identified PP children.</p>	<p>1:1 and small group support.</p>	<p>Oral language interventions consistently show positive benefits on learning, including oral language skills and reading comprehension. On average, pupils who participate in oral language interventions make approximately five months' additional progress over the course of a year.</p>	<p>Speech and intervention, either in small groups or 1:1 as appropriate.</p>	<p>LM/EA  BK, EW, MB, other TAs (as appropriate)</p>	<p>£500  Termly Analysis (Summer 2021)</p>
<p>Improve social and emotional outcomes for targeted children by ensuring all children with SE needs are able to manage social and emotional difficulties well.</p>	<p>Individual Social, Emotional Learning Interventions</p>	<p>On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself (four months' additional progress on average). Although SEL interventions almost always improve emotional or attitudinal outcomes, not all interventions are equally effective at raising attainment. Improvements appear more likely when approaches are embedded into routine educational practices, and supported by professional development and training for staff.</p>	<p>Identified link between PP children and children within vulnerable families. Identify Specific children with attached pupil premium who need 1:1 SEL support for a range of needs. Implement individual sessions (or group if needed) focused on Nurture, or counselling sessions as needed.</p>	<p>EA  MB  HB</p>	<p>£500  (available if needed throughout the year)</p>

Increase social and musical opportunities for children who are unable to access these opportunities outside school	1:1 tuition and small group tuition.		Weekly individual and small group music lessons (guitar/violin/piano).	LB	£1500
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					<b>£20500</b>
<b>iii. Other approaches</b>					
<b>Desired outcome</b>	<b>Chosen action / approach</b>	<b>What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?</b>	<b>How will you ensure it is implemented well?</b>	<b>Staff lead</b>	<b>When will you review implementation?</b>
All children including PP children are able to start school with appropriate uniform.	Individual support for PP children to provide uniform.	There is a general belief in many countries that school uniform supports the development of a whole school ethos and therefore is supportive of discipline and motivation. However, there is no robust evidence that introducing a school uniform will, by itself, improve academic performance, behaviour or attendance. However, within school we have instances of non-attendance through lack of appropriate clothing, and further instances of children from vulnerable families being emotionally affected by their lack of suitable uniform.	Initial support on becoming FSM/PP. Needs basis analysis	EA LB	£500 End of year
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					<b>£500</b>

6. Review of expenditure																			
Previous Academic Year		2019-20																	
i. Quality of teaching for all - none																			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost															
ii. Targeted support																			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost															
Maths – Close attainment and progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.	1:1 / small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in maths.	<p>SUCCESS CRITERIA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Pupil Count</th> <th>Last Year's Sum Maths - At or above ARE</th> <th>Last Year's Sum Maths GL Assessments - At or above ARE</th> <th>Maths progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Pupil Premium</td> <td>13 (5%)</td> <td>75%</td> <td>80%</td> <td>5.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Pupil Premium</td> <td>232 (95%)</td> <td>83%</td> <td>90%</td> <td>5.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PP group have expected progress better than non PP group.</li> <li>The gap between attainment of PP and non-PP children reduces.</li> </ul> <p>75% of pupil premium children have made expected or better progress compared to 72.6% of non-pupil premium children nationally.</p>		Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Maths - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum Maths GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Maths progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum	Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	75%	80%	5.9	Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	83%	90%	5.7	<p>The strategy has been effective and will continue this year. Although the cost has been significant the impact on progress has been high. This needs to continue as a gap still remains.</p> <p>NB – As a result of the COVID pandemic, we have no data for 2020. This is the most recent data available. This strategy will be continued next year, as the most recent data we have shows a positive impact.</p>	£5500
	Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Maths - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum Maths GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Maths progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum															
Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	75%	80%	5.9															
Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	83%	90%	5.7															

<p>Writing – Close attainment and progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.</p>	<p>1:1 tuition and small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in writing.</p>	<p>SUCCESS CRITERIA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Pupil Count</th> <th>Last Year's Sum Writing - At or above ARE</th> <th>Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE</th> <th>Writing progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Pupil Premium</td> <td>13 (5%)</td> <td>67%</td> <td>73%</td> <td>5.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Pupil Premium</td> <td>232 (95%)</td> <td>74%</td> <td>93%</td> <td>5.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PP group have expected progress in line better than non PP group.</li> <li>• The gap between attainment of PP and non-PP children reduces.</li> </ul> <p>67% of pupil premium children have made expected or better progress compared to 65.5% of non-pupil premium children nationally.</p>		Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Writing - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Writing progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum	Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	67%	73%	5.8	Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	74%	93%	5.3	<p>Progress of PP children is above progress of non-PP children. There is still a gap, however the strategy has been effective in writing and will continue this year. The cost has been significant, but the impact has been good.</p> <p>NB – As a result of the COVID pandemic, we have no data for 2020. This is the most recent data available. This strategy will be continued next year, as the most recent data we have shows a positive impact.</p>	<p>£5000</p>
	Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Writing - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Writing progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum															
Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	67%	73%	5.8															
Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	74%	93%	5.3															




<p>Reading – Close attainment and progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children.</p>	<p>1:1 tuition and small group tuition on assessed areas of need to accelerate progress in reading.</p>	<p>SUCCESS CRITERIA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Pupil Count</th> <th>Last Year's Sum Reading - At or above ARE</th> <th>Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE</th> <th>Reading progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Pupil Premium</td> <td>13 (5%)</td> <td>67%</td> <td>73%</td> <td>5.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Pupil Premium</td> <td>232 (95%)</td> <td>82%</td> <td>93%</td> <td>5.4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>67% of pupil premium children have made expected or better progress compared to 74% of non-pupil premium children nationally.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PP group have expected progress in similar (slightly below) to non PP group.</li> <li>• The gap between attainment of PP and non-PP children remains similar.</li> </ul>		Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Reading - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Reading progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum	Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	67%	73%	5.1	Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	82%	93%	5.4	<p>The strategy has not been as effective as in previous years. However, progress in reading is similar and will continue next year.</p> <p>The cost has been significant, but the impact has been good.</p> <p>NB – As a result of the COVID pandemic, we have no data for 2020. This is the most recent data available. This strategy will be continued next year, as the most recent data we have shows a positive impact.</p>	<p>£5000</p>
	Pupil Count	Last Year's Sum Reading - At or above ARE	Last Year's Sum English GL Assessments - At or above ARE	Reading progress from Last Year's Entry to Sum															
Pupil Premium	13 (5%)	67%	73%	5.1															
Not Pupil Premium	232 (95%)	82%	93%	5.4															

Language and Communication – Improve speech and language development of children, particularly identified PP children.

1:1 and small group support.

Success Criteria: **EYFS progress data for S+L strand shows good progress for EYFS children, expected or above expected progress**



EYFS data for speaking and listening also shows 100% are now at the expected level of development for their age group.

**KS1 data for R/W shows good progress (expected or above expected progress).**

Reading Progress Matrix for Pupils (from 2018-2019) in Year 2

Y2 Sum EYFSP	Y2 Sum SAT TA			
	Pre KS	WTS	EXS	GSB
Emerging	1 pupil - 2%	2 pupils - 6%	11 pupils - 28%	1 pupil - 3%
Expected		1 pupil - 2%	12 pupils - 29%	6 pupils - 12%
Succeeding			1 pupil - 2%	6 pupils - 19%

Reading progress over time shows 98% of children made expected or better progress from EY to KS2. 40% of children made better than expected progress.

Writing Progress Matrix for Pupils (from 2018-2019) in Year 2

Y2 Sum EYFSP	Y2 Sum SAT TA			
	Pre KS	WTS	EXS	GSB
Emerging	1 pupil - 2%	7 pupils - 17%	7 pupils - 17%	
Expected		2 pupils - 5%	17 pupils - 40%	2 pupils - 7%
Succeeding				5 pupils - 12%

Writing progress over time shows 95% of children made expected or better progress from EY to KS2. 36% of children made better than expected progress.

The strategy will continue next year.

**NB – As a result of the COVID pandemic, we have no data for 2020. This is the most recent data available. This strategy will be continued next year, as the most recent data we have shows a positive impact.**

£500

Increase social interaction opportunities for children who have few opportunities outside school, including access to trips and adventurous activities outside the school day.		Summary: All PP children had subsidised or free access to school trips, up until March lockdown.	The strategy will continue next year.	£1000
Increase social and musical opportunities for children who are unable to access these opportunities outside school	1:1 tuition and small group tuition.	Summary: 3 children have had Individual piano and/or guitar lessons for the last year.	The strategy will continue next year.	£1000
iii. Other approaches				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
All children including PP children are able to start school with appropriate uniform.	Individual support for PP children to provide uniform.	All new PP children have used the uniform service. Within school we have had instances of non-attendance through lack of appropriate clothing, and further instances of children from vulnerable families being emotionally affected by their lack of suitable uniform. This year we have had no instances of non-attendance or uniform having an impact on the well being of PP children.	Approach will continue.	£500

How does the school share progress information with all parents?

This report, available on the school website, contains information on pupil premium, including:

- How and why interventions have been identified.
- Cohorts and numbers of children the interventions are impacting on
- What impact the intervention is having
- A breakdown of how money is spent
- The impact on progress and attainment.